African Journal of Microbiology Research

Volume 9 Number 47, 28 November, 2015 ISSN 1996-0808



ABOUT AJMR

The African Journal of Microbiology Research (AJMR) (ISSN 1996-0808) is published Weekly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

African Journal of Microbiology Research (AJMR) provides rapid publication (weekly) of articles in all areas of Microbiology such as: Environmental Microbiology, Clinical Microbiology, Immunology, Virology, Bacteriology, Phycology, Mycology and Parasitology, Protozoology, Microbial Ecology, Probiotics and Prebiotics, Molecular Microbiology, Biotechnology, Food Microbiology, Industrial Microbiology, Cell Physiology, Environmental Biotechnology, Genetics, Enzymology, Molecular and Cellular Biology, Plant Pathology, Entomology, Biomedical Sciences, Botany and Plant Sciences, Soil and Environmental Sciences, Zoology, Endocrinology, Toxicology. The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office:	ajmr@academicjournals.org
Help Desk:	helpdesk@academicjournals.org
Website:	http://academicjournals.org/AJMR
Submit manuscript online	http://ms.academicjournals.me/

Editors

Prof. Fukai Bao Department of Microbiology and Immunology Kunming Medical University Kunming 650031, China

Dr. Jianfeng Wu Dept. of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, USA

Dr. Ahmet Yilmaz Coban *OMU Medical School, Department of Medical Microbiology, Samsun, Turkey*

Dr. Seyed Davar Siadat Pasteur Institute of Iran, Pasteur Square, Pasteur Avenue, Tehran, Iran.

Dr. J. Stefan Rokem The Hebrew University of Jerusalem Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, P.O.B. 12272, IL-91120 Jerusalem, Israel

Prof. Long-Liu Lin National Chiayi University 300 Syuefu Road, Chiayi, Taiwan

Dr. Thaddeus Ezeji Assistant Professor Fermentation and Biotechnology Unit Department of Animal Sciences The Ohio State University 1680 Madison Avenue USA.

Associate Editors

Dr. Mamadou Gueye *MIRCEN/ Laboratoire commun de microbiologie*

IRD-ISRA-UCAD, BP 1386, DAKAR, Senegal.

Dr. Caroline Mary Knox Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology and Biotechnology, Rhodes University, Grahamstown 6140 South Africa.

Dr. Hesham Elsayed Mostafa Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute (GEBRI) Mubarak City for Scientific Research, Research Area, New Borg El-Arab City, Post Code 21934, Alexandria, Egypt.

Dr. Wael Abbas El-Naggar Head of Microbiology Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt.

Dr. Abdel Nasser A. El-Moghazy Microbiology, Molecular Biology, Genetics Engineering and Biotechnology Dept of Microbiology and Immunology Faculty of Pharmacy Al-Azhar University Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt

Dr. Barakat S.M. Mahmoud Food Safety/Microbiology Experimental Seafood Processing Laboratory Costal Research and Extension Center Mississippi State University 3411 Frederic Street Pascagoula, MS 39567 USA

Prof. Mohamed Mahrous Amer *Poultry Disease (Viral Diseases of poultry) Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Poultry Diseases Cairo University, Giza, Egypt*

Dr. Xiaohui Zhou

Molecular Microbiology, Industrial Microbiology, Environmental Microbiology, Pathogenesis, Antibiotic resistance, Microbial Ecology, Washington State University, Bustad Hall 402 Department of Veterinary, Microbiology and Pathology, Pullman, USA

Dr. R. Balaji Raja Department of Biotechnology, School of Bioengineering, SRM University, Chennai India

Dr. Aly E Abo-Amer

Division of Microbiology, Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Sohag University. Egypt.

Editorial Board

Dr. Haoyu Mao

Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology College of Medicine University of Florida Florida, Gainesville USA.

Dr. Rachna Chandra

Environmental Impact Assessment Division Environmental Sciences Sálim Ali Center for Ornithology and Natural History (SACON), Anaikatty (PO), Coimbatore-641108, India

Dr. Yongxu Sun Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Biomacromolecules Qiqihar Medical University, Qiqihar 161006 Heilongjiang Province P.R. China

Dr. Ramesh Chand Kasana

Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology Palampur, Distt. Kangra (HP), India

Dr. S. Meena Kumari

Department of Biosciences Faculty of Science University of Mauritius Reduit

Dr. T. Ramesh

Assistant Professor Marine Microbiology CAS in Marine Biology Faculty of Marine Sciences Annamalai University Parangipettai - 608 502 Cuddalore Dist. Tamilnadu, India

Dr. Pagano Marcela Claudia

Post-doctoral Fellowship at Department of Biology, Federal University of Ceará - UFC, Brazil.

Dr. EL-Sayed E. Habib

Associate Professor, Dept. of Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura University, Egypt.

Dr. Pongsak Rattanachaikunsopon

Department of Biological Science, Faculty of Science, Ubon Ratchathani University, Warin Chamrap, Ubon Ratchathani 34190, Thailand

Dr. Gokul Shankar Sabesan

Microbiology Unit, Faculty of Medicine, AIMST University Jalan Bedong, Semeling 08100, Kedah, Malaysia

Dr. Kwang Young Song

Department of Biological Engineering, School of Biological and Chemical Engineering, Yanbian Universityof Science and Technology, Yanji, China.

Dr. Kamel Belhamel Faculty of Technology, University of Bejaia Algeria

Dr. Sladjana Jevremovic Institute for Biological Research Sinisa Stankovic, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. Tamer Edirne Dept. of Family Medicine, Univ. of Pamukkale Turkey

Dr. R. Balaji Raja M.Tech (Ph.D)

Assistant Professor, Department of Biotechnology, School of Bioengineering, SRM University, Chennai. India

Dr. Minglei Wang University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA

Dr. Mohd Fuat ABD Razak Institute for Medical Research Malaysia

Dr. Davide Pacifico Istituto di Virologia Vegetale – CNR Italy

Prof. Dr. Akrum Hamdy Faculty of Agriculture, Minia University, Egypt Egypt

Dr. Ntobeko A. B. Ntusi *Cardiac Clinic, Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town and Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Oxford South Africa and United Kingdom*

Prof. N. S. Alzoreky Food Science & Nutrition Department, College of Agricultural Sciences & Food, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia **Dr. Chen Ding** *College of Material Science and Engineering, Hunan University, China*

Dr Svetlana Nikolić Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. Sivakumar Swaminathan Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011 USA

Dr. Alfredo J. Anceno School of Environment, Resources and Development (SERD), Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

Dr. Iqbal Ahmad Aligarh Muslim University, Aligrah India

Dr. Josephine Nketsia-Tabiri Ghana Atomic Energy Commission Ghana

Dr. Juliane Elisa Welke UFRGS – Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul Brazil

Dr. Mohammad Nazrul Islam NIMR; IPH-Bangalore & NIUM Bangladesh

Dr. Okonko, Iheanyi Omezuruike Department of Virology, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria Dr. Giuliana Noratto Texas A&M University USA

Dr. Phanikanth Venkata Turlapati Washington State University USA

Dr. Khaleel I. Z. Jawasreh National Centre for Agricultural Research and Extension, NCARE Jordan

Dr. Babak Mostafazadeh, MD Shaheed Beheshty University of Medical Sciences Iran

Dr. S. Meena Kumari Department of Biosciences Faculty of Science University of Mauritius Reduit Mauritius

Dr. S. Anju Department of Biotechnology, SRM University, Chennai-603203 India

Dr. Mustafa Maroufpor Iran

Prof. Dong Zhichun Professor, Department of Animal Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Yunnan Agriculture University, China

Dr. Mehdi Azami Parasitology & Mycology Dept, Baghaeei Lab., Shams Abadi St. Isfahan Iran

Dr. Anderson de Souza Sant'Ana University of São Paulo. Brazil.

Dr. Juliane Elisa Welke *UFRGS – Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul Brazil* **Dr. Paul Shapshak**

USF Health, Depts. Medicine (Div. Infect. Disease & Internat Med) and Psychiatry & Beh Med. USA

Dr. Jorge Reinheimer Universidad Nacional del Litoral (Santa Fe) Argentina

Dr. Qin Liu East China University of Science and Technology, China

Dr. Xiao-Qing Hu State Key Lab of Food Science and Technology Jiangnan University P. R. China

Prof. Branislava Kocic Specaialist of Microbiology and Parasitology University of Nis, School of Medicine Institute for Public Health Nis, Bul. Z. Djindjica 50, 18000 Nis Serbia

Dr. Rafel Socias *CITA de Aragón, Spain*

Prof. Kamal I. Mohamed State University of New York at Oswego USA

Dr. Adriano Cruz Faculty of Food Engineering-FEA University of Campinas (UNICAMP) Brazil

Dr. Mike Agenbag (Michael Hermanus Albertus) Manager Municipal Health Services, Joe Gqabi District Municipality South Africa

Dr. D. V. L. Sarada Department of Biotechnology, SRM University, Chennai-603203 India.

Dr. Samuel K Ameyaw *Civista Medical Center United States of America*

Prof. Huaizhi Wang Institute of Hepatopancreatobiliary Surgery of PLA Southwest Hospital, Third Military Medical University Chongqing400038 P. R. China

Prof. Bakhiet AO *College of Veterinary Medicine, Sudan University of Science and Technology Sudan*

Dr. Saba F. Hussain Community, Orthodontics and Peadiatric Dentistry Department Faculty of Dentistry Universiti Teknologi MARA 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor Malaysia

Prof. Dr. Zohair I.F.Rahemo State Key Lab of Food Science and Technology Jiangnan University P. R. China

Dr. Afework Kassu University of Gondar Ethiopia

Prof. Isidro A. T. Savillo ISCOF Philippines

Dr. How-Yee Lai *Taylor's University College Malaysia*

Dr. Nidheesh Dadheech *MS. University of Baroda, Vadodara, Gujarat, India. India*

Dr. Omitoyin Siyanbola Bowen University, Iwo, Nigeria

Dr. Franco Mutinelli Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale delle Venezie Italy

Dr. Chanpen Chanchao

Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University Thailand

Dr. Tsuyoshi Kasama Division of Rheumatology, Showa University Japan

Dr. Kuender D. Yang, MD. Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Taiwan

Dr. Liane Raluca Stan University Politehnica of Bucharest, Department of Organic Chemistry "C.Nenitzescu" Romania

Dr. Muhamed Osman Senior Lecturer of Pathology & Consultant Immunopathologist Department of Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA, 40450 Shah Alam, Selangor Malaysia

Dr. Mohammad Feizabadi *Tehran University of medical Sciences Iran*

Prof. Ahmed H Mitwalli State Key Lab of Food Science and Technology Jiangnan University P. R. China

Dr. Mazyar Yazdani Department of Biology, University of Oslo, Blindern, Oslo, Norway

Dr. Ms. Jemimah Gesare Onsare *Ministry of Higher, Education Science and Technology Kenya*

Dr. Babak Khalili Hadad

Department of Biological Sciences, Roudehen Branch, Islamic Azad University, Roudehen Iran

Dr. Ehsan Sari

Department of Plan Pathology, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection, Tehran, Iran.

Dr. Snjezana Zidovec Lepej University Hospital for Infectious Diseases Zagreb, Croatia

Dr. Dilshad Ahmad *King Saud University Saudi Arabia*

Dr. Adriano Gomes da Cruz University of Campinas (UNICAMP) Brazil

Dr. Hsin-Mei Ku Agronomy Dept. NCHU 250 Kuo Kuang Rd, Taichung, Taiwan

Dr. Fereshteh Naderi *Physical chemist, Islamic Azad University, Shahre Ghods Branch Iran*

Dr. Adibe Maxwell Ogochukwu Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacy Management, University of Nigeria, Nsukka. Nigeria

Dr. William M. Shafer Emory University School of Medicine USA

Dr. Michelle Bull CSIRO Food and Nutritional Sciences Australia **Prof. Dr. Márcio Garcia Ribeiro (DVM, PhD)** School of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science-UNESP, Dept. Veterinary Hygiene and Public Health, State of Sao Paulo

Brazil

Prof. Dr. Sheila Nathan National University of Malaysia (UKM) Malaysia

Prof. Ebiamadon Andi Brisibe University of Calabar, Calabar, Nigeria

Dr. Julie Wang *Burnet Institute Australia*

Dr. Jean-Marc Chobert INRA- BIA, FIPL France

Dr. Zhilong Yang, PhD Laboratory of Viral Diseases National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health

Dr. Dele Raheem University of Helsinki Finland

Dr. Li Sun *PLA Centre for the treatment of infectious diseases, Tangdu Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University China*

Dr. Biljana Miljkovic-Selimovic

School of Medicine, University in Nis, Serbia; Referent laboratory for Campylobacter and Helicobacter, Center for Microbiology, Institute for Public Health, Nis Serbia

Dr. Xinan Jiao Yangzhou University China

Dr. Endang Sri Lestari, MD.

Department of Clinical Microbiology, Medical Faculty, Diponegoro University/Dr. Kariadi Teaching Hospital, Semarang Indonesia

Dr. Hojin Shin Pusan National University Hospital South Korea

Dr. Yi Wang *Center for Vector Biology, 180 Jones Avenue Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8536 USA*

Dr. Heping Zhang The Key Laboratory of Dairy Biotechnology and Engineering, Ministry of Education, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University. China

Prof. Natasha Potgieter *University of Venda South Africa*

Dr. Alemzadeh Sharif University Iran

Dr. Sonia Arriaga Instituto Potosino de Investigación Científicay Tecnológica/División de Ciencias Ambientales Mexico

Dr. Armando Gonzalez-Sanchez *Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana Cuajimalpa Mexico*

Dr. Pradeep Parihar Lovely Professional University, Phagwara, Punjab. India

Dr. William H Roldán Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Peru

Dr. Kanzaki, L I B Laboratory of Bioprospection. University of Brasilia Brazil **Prof. Philippe Dorchies** Laboratory of Bioprospection. University of Brasilia Brazil

Dr. C. Ganesh Kumar Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad India

Dr. Farid Che Ghazali Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) Malaysia

Dr. Samira Bouhdid Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tetouan, Morocco

Dr. Zainab Z. Ismail Department of Environmental Engineering, University of Baghdad. Iraq

Dr. Ary Fernandes Junior Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP) Brasil

Dr. Papaevangelou Vassiliki Athens University Medical School Greece

Dr. Fangyou Yu *The first Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical College China*

Dr. Galba Maria de Campos Takaki Catholic University of Pernambuco Brazil

Dr. Kwabena Ofori-Kwakye Department of Pharmaceutics, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science & Technology, KUMASI Ghana

Prof. Dr. Liesel Brenda Gende Arthropods Laboratory, School of Natural and Exact Sciences, National University of Mar del Plata Buenos Aires, Argentina.

Dr. Adeshina Gbonjubola

Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. Nigeria

Prof. Dr. Stylianos Chatzipanagiotou University of Athens – Medical School Greec

Dr. Dongqing BAI Department of Fishery Science, Tianjin Agricultural College, Tianjin 300384 P. R. China

Dr. Dingqiang Lu Nanjing University of Technology P.R. China

Dr. L. B. Sukla Scientist –G & Head, Biominerals Department, IMMT, Bhubaneswar India

Dr. Hakan Parlakpinar *MD. Inonu University, Medical Faculty, Department of Pharmacology, Malatya Turkey*

Dr Pak-Lam Yu Massey University New Zealand

Dr Percy Chimwamurombe University of Namibia Namibia

Dr. Euclésio Simionatto State University of Mato Grosso do Sul-UEMS Brazil

Dr. Hans-Jürg Monstein *Clinical Microbiology, Molecular Biology Laboratory, University Hospital, Faculty of Health Sciences, S-581 85 Linköping Sweden*

Dr. Ajith, T. A Associate Professor Biochemistry, Amala Institute of Medical Sciences, Amala Nagar, Thrissur, Kerala-680 555 India

Dr. Feng-Chia Hsieh

Biopesticides Division, Taiwan Agricultural Chemicals and Toxic Substances Research Institute, Council of Agriculture Taiwan

Prof. Dra. Suzan Pantaroto de Vasconcellos Universidade Federal de São Paulo Rua Prof. Artur Riedel, 275 Jd. Eldorado, Diadema, SP CEP 09972-270 Brasil

Dr. Maria Leonor Ribeiro Casimiro Lopes Assad Universidade Federal de São Carlos - Centro de Ciências Agrárias - CCA/UFSCar Departamento de Recursos Naturais e Proteção Ambiental Rodovia Anhanguera, km 174 - SP-330 Araras - São Paulo Brasil

Dr. Pierangeli G. Vital *Institute of Biology, College of Science, University of the Philippines Philippines*

Prof. Roland Ndip University of Fort Hare, Alice South Africa

Dr. Shawn Carraher University of Fort Hare, Alice South Africa

Dr. José Eduardo Marques Pessanha *Observatório de Saúde Urbana de Belo Horizonte/Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais Brasil*

Dr. Yuanshu Qian Department of Pharmacology, Shantou University Medical College China

Dr. Helen Treichel *URI-Campus de Erechim Brazil* **Dr. Xiao-Qing Hu** State Key Lab of Food Science and Technology Jiangnan University P. R. China

Dr. Olli H. Tuovinen *Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio USA*

Prof. Stoyan Groudev University of Mining and Geology "Saint Ivan Rilski" Sofia Bulgaria

Dr. G. Thirumurugan Research lab, GIET School of Pharmacy, NH-5, Chaitanya nagar, Rajahmundry-533294. India

Dr. Charu Gomber Thapar University India

Dr. Jan Kuever Bremen Institute for Materials Testing, Department of Microbiology, Paul-Feller-Str. 1, 28199 Bremen Germany

Dr. Nicola S. Flanagan Universidad Javeriana, Cali Colombia

Dr. André Luiz C. M. de A. Santiago *Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco Brazil*

Dr. Dhruva Kumar Jha *Microbial Ecology Laboratory, Department of Botany, Gauhati University, Guwahati 781 014, Assam India*

Dr. N Saleem Basha *M. Pharm (Pharmaceutical Biotechnology) Eritrea (North East Africa)*

Prof. Dr. João Lúcio de Azevedo Dept. Genetics-University of São Paulo-Faculty of Agriculture- Piracicaba, 13400-970 Brasil Dr. Julia Inés Fariña PROIMI-CONICET Argentina

Dr. Yutaka Ito *Kyoto University Japan*

Dr. Cheruiyot K. Ronald *Biomedical Laboratory Technologist Kenya*

Prof. Dr. Ata Akcil S. D. University Turkey

Dr. Adhar Manna *The University of South Dakota USA*

Dr. Cícero Flávio Soares Aragão *Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte Brazil*

Dr. Gunnar Dahlen Institute of odontology, Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg Sweden

Dr. Pankaj Kumar Mishra *Vivekananda Institute of Hill Agriculture, (I.C.A.R.), ALMORA-263601, Uttarakhand India*

Dr. Benjamas W. Thanomsub *Srinakharinwirot University Thailand*

Dr. Maria José Borrego National Institute of Health – Department of Infectious Diseases Portugal

Dr. Catherine Carrillo Health Canada, Bureau of Microbial Hazards Canada

Dr. Marcotty Tanguy Institute of Tropical Medicine Belgium

Dr. Han-Bo Zhang

Laboratory of Conservation and Utilization for Bioresources Key Laboratory for Microbial Resources of the Ministry of Education, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091. School of Life Science, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan Province 650091. China

Dr. Ali Mohammed Somily

King Saud University Saudi Arabia

Dr. Nicole Wolter National Institute for Communicable Diseases and University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg South Africa

Dr. Marco Antonio Nogueira Universidade Estadual de Londrina CCB/Depto. De microbiologia Laboratório de Microbiologia Ambiental Caixa Postal 6001 86051-980 Londrina. Brazil

Dr. Bruno Pavoni Department of Environmental Sciences University of Venice Italy

Dr. Shih-Chieh Lee Da-Yeh University Taiwan

Dr. Satoru Shimizu Horonobe Research Institute for the Subsurface Environment, Northern Advancement Center for Science & Technology Japan

Dr. Tang Ming College of Forestry, Northwest A&F University, Yangling China **Dr. Olga Gortzi** Department of Food Technology, T.E.I. of Larissa Greece

Dr. Mark Tarnopolsky Mcmaster University Canada

Dr. Sami A. Zabin Al Baha University Saudi Arabia

Dr. Julia W. Pridgeon Aquatic Animal Health Research Unit, USDA, ARS USA

Dr. Lim Yau Yan Monash University Sunway Campus Malaysia

Prof. Rosemeire C. L. R. Pietro *Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas de Araraquara, Univ Estadual Paulista, UNESP Brazil*

Dr. Nazime Mercan Dogan PAU Faculty of Arts and Science, Denizli Turkey

Dr Ian Edwin Cock Biomolecular and Physical Sciences Griffith University Australia

Prof. N K Dubey Banaras Hindu University India

Dr. S. Hemalatha Department of Pharmaceutics, Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi. 221005 India

Dr. J. Santos Garcia A. Universidad A. de Nuevo Leon Mexico India

Dr. Somboon Tanasupawat Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330 Thailand

Dr. Vivekananda Mandal

Post Graduate Department of Botany, Darjeeling Government College, Darjeeling – 734101. India

Dr. Shihua Wang *College of Life Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University China*

Dr. Victor Manuel Fernandes Galhano

CITAB-Centre for Research and Technology of Agro-Environment and Biological Sciences, Integrative Biology and Quality Research Group, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, Apartado 1013, 5001-801 Vila Real Portugal

Dr. Maria Cristina Maldonado

Instituto de Biotecnologia. Universidad Nacional de Tucuman Argentina

Dr. Alex Soltermann Institute for Surgical Pathology, University Hospital Zürich Switzerland

Dr. Dagmara Sirova

Department of Ecosystem Biology, Faculty Of Science, University of South Bohemia, Branisovska 37, Ceske Budejovice, 37001 Czech Republic

Dr. E. O Igbinosa Department of Microbiology, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria.

Dr. Hodaka Suzuki National Institute of Health Sciences Japan

Dr. Mick Bosilevac US Meat Animal Research Center USA

Dr. Nora Lía Padola Imunoquímica y Biotecnología- Fac Cs Vet-UNCPBA Argentina

Dr. Maria Madalena Vieira-Pinto

Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro Portugal

Dr. Stefano Morandi *CNR-Istituto di Scienze delle Produzioni Alimentari (ISPA), Sez. Milano Italy*

Dr Line Thorsen Copenhagen University, Faculty of Life Sciences Denmark

Dr. Ana Lucia Falavigna-Guilherme *Universidade Estadual de Maringá Brazil*

Dr. Baoqiang Liao Dept. of Chem. Eng., Lakehead University, 955 Oliver Road, Thunder Bay, Ontario Canada

Dr. Ouyang Jinping Patho-Physiology department, Faculty of Medicine of Wuhan University China

Dr. John Sorensen University of Manitoba Canada

Dr. Andrew Williams University of Oxford United Kingdom

Dr. Chi-Chiang Yang Chung Shan Medical University Taiwan, R.O.C.

Dr. Quanming Zou Department of Clinical Microbiology and Immunology, College of Medical Laboratory, Third Military Medical University China

Prof. Ashok Kumar School of Biotechnology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi India **Dr. Chung-Ming Chen** Department of Pediatrics, Taipei Medical University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

Dr. Jennifer Furin Harvard Medical School USA

Dr. Julia W. Pridgeon Aquatic Animal Health Research Unit, USDA, ARS USA

Dr Alireza Seidavi Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch Iran

Dr. Thore Rohwerder Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research UFZ Germany

Dr. Daniela Billi University of Rome Tor Vergat Italy

Dr. Ivana Karabegovic Faculty of Technology, Leskovac, University of Nis Serbia

Dr. Flaviana Andrade Faria IBILCE/UNESP Brazil

Prof. Margareth Linde Athayde Federal University of Santa Maria Brazil

Dr. Guadalupe Virginia Nevarez Moorillon *Universidad Autonoma de Chihuahua Mexico*

Dr. Tatiana de Sousa Fiuza Federal University of Goias Brazil

Dr. Indrani B. Das Sarma Jhulelal Institute of Technology, Nagpur India

Dr. Guanghua Wang Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences China **Dr. Renata Vadkertiova** Institute of Chemistry, Slovak Academy of Science Slovakia

Dr. Charles Hocart *The Australian National University Australia*

Dr. Guoqiang Zhu University of Yangzhou College of Veterinary Medicine China

Dr. Guilherme Augusto Marietto Gonçalves São Paulo State University Brazil

Dr. Mohammad Ali Faramarzi *Tehran University of Medical Sciences Iran*

Dr. Suppasil Maneerat Department of Industrial Biotechnology, Faculty of Agro-Industry, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai 90112 Thailand

Dr. Francisco Javier Las heras Vazquez Almeria University Spain

Dr. Cheng-Hsun Chiu Chang Gung memorial Hospital, Chang Gung University Taiwan

Dr. Ajay Singh DDU Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur-273009 (U.P.) India

Dr. Karabo Shale *Central University of Technology, Free State South Africa*

Dr. Lourdes Zélia Zanoni Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul Brazil

Dr. Tulin Askun Balikesir University Turkey **Dr. Marija Stankovic** Institute of Molecular Genetics and Genetic Engineering Republic of Serbia

Dr. Scott Weese

University of Guelph Dept of Pathobiology, Ontario Veterinary College, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, N1G2W1, Canada

Dr. Sabiha Essack

School of Health Sciences South African Committee of Health Sciences University of KwaZulu-Natal Private Bag X54001 Durban 4000 South Africa

Dr. Hare Krishna

Central Institute for Arid Horticulture, Beechwal, Bikaner-334 006, Rajasthan, India

Dr. Anna Mensuali

Dept. of Life Science, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna

Dr. Ghada Sameh Hafez Hassan

Pharmaceutical Chemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mansoura University, Egypt

Dr. Kátia Flávia Fernandes *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Universidade Federal de Goiás Brasil*

Dr. Abdel-Hady El-Gilany

Public Health & Community Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University Egypt

Dr. Hongxiong Guo STD and HIV/AIDS Control and Prevention, Jiangsu provincial CDC, China

Dr. Konstantina Tsaousi

Life and Health Sciences, School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Ulster

Dr. Bhavnaben Gowan Gordhan

DST/NRF Centre of Excellence for Biomedical TB Research University of the Witwatersrand and National Health Laboratory Service P.O. Box 1038, Johannesburg 2000, South Africa

Dr. Ernest Kuchar

Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Wroclaw Medical University, Wroclaw Teaching Hospital, Poland

Dr. Hongxiong Guo

STD and HIV/AIDS Control and Prevention, Jiangsu provincial CDC, China

Dr. Mar Rodriguez Jovita

Food Hygiene and Safety, Faculty of Veterinary Science. University of Extremadura, Spain

Dr. Jes Gitz Holler

Hospital Pharmacy, Aalesund. Central Norway Pharmaceutical Trust Professor Brochs gt. 6. 7030 Trondheim, Norway

Prof. Chengxiang FANG

College of Life Sciences, Wuhan University Wuhan 430072, P.R.China

Dr. Anchalee Tungtrongchitr

Siriraj Dust Mite Center for Services and Research Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University 2 Prannok Road, Bangkok Noi, Bangkok, 10700, Thailand

Instructions for Author

Electronic submission of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The **cover letter** should include the corresponding author's full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author's surname, as an attachment.

Article Types

Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

Regular articles: These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

Short Communications: A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

Reviews: Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review.

Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the Journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the AJMR to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

Regular articles

All portions of the manuscript must be typed doublespaced and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

The Title should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The Abstract should be informative and completely selfexplanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length.. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 key words that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

The Introduction should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

Materials and methods should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail. **Results** should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

The Discussion should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

The Acknowledgments of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

Tables should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed doublespaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

Figure legends should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

References: In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Abayomi (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 1992), (Chege, 1998;

1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001) References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:

Chikere CB, Omoni VT and Chikere BO (2008). Distribution of potential nosocomial pathogens in a hospital environment. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 7:3535-3539.

Moran GJ, Amii RN, Abrahamian FM, Talan DA (2005). Methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus in community-acquired skin infections. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 11: 928-930.

Pitout JDD, Church DL, Gregson DB, Chow BL, McCracken M, Mulvey M, Laupland KB (2007). Molecular epidemiology of CTXM-producing Escherichia coli in the Calgary Health Region: emergence of CTX-M-15-producing isolates. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 51: 1281-1286.

Pelczar JR, Harley JP, Klein DA (1993). Microbiology: Concepts and Applications. McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, pp. 591-603.

Short Communications

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (email attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage. **Fees and Charges**: Authors are required to pay a \$550 handling fee. Publication of an article in the African Journal of Microbiology Research is not contingent upon the author's ability to pay the charges. Neither is acceptance to pay the handling fee a guarantee that the paper will be accepted for publication. Authors may still request (in advance) that the editorial office waive some of the handling fee under special circumstances

Copyright: © 2015, Academic Journals.

All rights Reserved. In accessing this journal, you agree that you will access the contents for your own personal use but not for any commercial use. Any use and or copies of this Journal in whole or in part must include the customary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, date and article title.

Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

Disclaimer of Warranties

In no event shall Academic Journals be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind arising out of or in connection with the use of the articles or other material derived from the AJMR, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications does not imply endorsement of that product or publication. While every effort is made by Academic Journals to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statements appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Academic Journals makes no warranty of any kind, either express or implied, regarding the quality, accuracy, availability, or validity of the data or information in this publication or of any other publication to which it may be linked.

African Journal of Microbiology Research

Table of Content: Volume 9 Number 47, 28 November, 2015

ARTICLES

Microalgae cultivation for biosurfactant production Elisângela Martha Radmann, Etiele Greque de Morais, Cibele Freitas de Oliveira, Kellen Zanfonato and Jorge Alberto Vieira Costa Carbon and nitrogen sources differently influence

Penicillium sp. HC1 conidiation in solid and liquid culture Ivonne Gutierréz-Rojas, Geraldine Tibasosa-Rodríguez, Nubia Moreno-Sarmiento2,3, María Ximena

Rodríguez-Bocanegra4 and Dolly Montoya

ez,

2290

2283

academicJournals

Vol. 9(47), pp. 2283-2289, 28 November, 2015 DOI: 10.5897/AJMR2015.7634 Article Number: 491F23956460 ISSN 1996-0808 Copyright © 2015 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJMR

African Journal of Microbiology Research

Full Length Research Paper

Microalgae cultivation for biosurfactant production

Elisângela Martha Radmann, Etiele Greque de Morais, Cibele Freitas de Oliveira, Kellen Zanfonato and Jorge Alberto Vieira Costa*

College of Chemical and Food Engineering, Federal University of Rio Grande, Laboratory of Biochemical Engineering, Rio Grande, RS, Brazil.

Received 19 June, 2015; Accepted 31 August, 2015

Microalgae can be used as both food and a source of bioactive compounds, such as oils, vitamins and biosurfactants. An important factor contributing to the production costs of bioactive compounds, such as biosurfactants, is the carbon source. One way to decrease production costs is by reducing carbon without a concomitant reduction in productivity. Biosurfactants have a wide range of industrial applications, particularly in the food industry, where they are used as emulsifiers and thickeners. We have investigated the use of cyanobacteria *Arthrospira* sp. LEB 18 and *Synechococcus nidulans* LEB 25 and of chlorophytes *Chlorella minutissima* LEB 108, *Chlorella vulgaris* LEB 106 and *Chlorella homosphaera* for the production of biosurfactants using autotrophic and mixotrophic cultivation. The strains were grown in Erlenmeyer photobioreactors containing appropriate media with NaHCO₃ as the autotrophic carbon source and glucose or molasses for mixotrophic growth. The results obtained demonstrate the potential of organic carbon sources to stimulate both the growth of microorganisms and biosurfactant production. Furthermore, the data highlight the potential of using molasse, a low-cost byproduct, as an organic substrate for microolgae cultivation.

Key words: Bicarbonate, biosurfactants, glucose, molasse, superficial tension.

INTRODUCTION

The world production of surfactants exceeds 3 million tons per year, nearly all of which are petroleum derivatives, with 70 to 75% of this production used by industrialized countries (Banat et al., 2000). Biosurfactants are biologically produced and composed by complex molecules and encompass a wide variety of chemical structures, such as glycolipids, lipopeptides, lipoproteins, neutral lipids, fatty acids and phospholipids (Desai and Banat, 1997).

Interest in biosurfactants has increased due to their diversity and potential application in areas such as food processing, environmental protection, pharmaceuticals and the recovery of oily residues. The surfactants produced by microorganisms have the advantage of being biodegradable and possess a great deal of specificity (Sundaram and Thakur, 2015).

*Corresponding author. E-mail: jorgealbertovc@terra.com.br. Tel: +55 (53) 32336908; Fax: +55 (53) 32336968.

Author(s) agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u> Biossurfactant production uses microalgae because these organisms are major producers of glycolipids, phospholipids and neutral lipids (Rodolfi et al., 2009). Furthermore, these microorganisms can also be a source of biocompounds that have applications in pharmaceutical and food industries, like biopigments and essential fatty acids, direct application of biomass in animal and human feed, biofuel production (H₂, biodiesel, bioethanol and biogas), and carbon dioxide biofixation (Morais et al., 2015; Pandey et al., 2014; Bellou et al., 2014).

A major advantage of cultivating microalgae for biosurfactant production is that many of these microorganisms fall into the Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) category. Such certified organisms have no risk of toxicity or pathogenicity and can be used for applications in the food and pharmaceutical industries (Soccol et al., 2013).

Commercial biosurfactant production is limited due to the high costs involved, particularly with respect to culture media. The use of cheaper substrates, such as molasses and glucose, may reduce the cost factor and make production economically viable. Mixotrophic microalgae culture can significantly enhance the growth of microalgae, resulting in cell densities three to ten times higher than those obtained in autotrophic culture (Bhatnagar et al., 2011). For Arthrospira microorganisms, the use of molasse as the carbon source is the most influential factor for maximizing biomass concentration and specific growth rate (Andrade and Costa, 2007). Furthermore, an organic substrate in the culture medium can reduce nocturnal loss of biomass because cellular energy demand can be supplied by respiration (Torzillo et al., 1991).

We investigated the cyanobacteria strains *Arthrospira* sp. LEB 18 and *Synechococcus nidulans* LEB 25 and the chlorophyte strains *Chlorella minutissima* LEB 108, *Chlorella vulgaris* LEB 106 and *Chlorella homosphaera* for the production of biosurfactants in autotrophic and mixotrophic cultivation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mixotrophic cultivation of Arthrospira sp LEB 18

Maintenance and growth of *Arthrospira*.sp. strain LEB 18 (Morais et al., 2008) was performed over 10 days in Zarrouk medium (Zarrouk, 1966) and was supplemented during the dark period with a total of 1, 3, 5, 7 or 9 g.L⁻¹ of glucose or molasses (Indumel, Brazil) added to the cultures at a rate of 10% per day. The cultures were carried out in 2 L Erlenmeyer photobioreactors maintained at 30°C and under a light intensity of 41.6 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ generated by 40 W fluorescent lamps in a 12 h photoperiod. The cultures were stirred by injecting sterile air with a specific flow rate with volume of air per volume of medium per minute (vvm) of 0.5 (Costa et al. 2000). The initial LEB 18 concentration was 0.15 g L⁻¹ (Radmann et al. 2007). The glucose concentration was analyzed using the glucose-oxidase enzymatic method (Laborlab, Campinas, Brazil). The culture

analysis were performed in duplicate.

Selection of microalgae for biosurfactant production

The organisms used in this study were the cyanobacteria *Arthrospira* sp. LEB 18 and *S. nidulans* LEB 25 and the chlorophytes *C. minutissima* LEB 108, *C. vulgaris* LEB 106 and *C. homosphaera*.

The cyanobacteria were maintained and grown in Zarrouk medium (Zarrouk, 1966), and the chlorophytes were maintained and grown in BG-11 medium (Rippka et al., 1979). Autotrophic growth used a total of 16.8 g L⁻¹ sodium bicarbonate as the carbon source (Chen et al., 1996). Mixotrophic growth was conducted over 20 days using glucose as total carbon source of 5 g.L⁻¹ (selected as described in the previous section), which was added to the cultures during the dark period at a rate of 5% per day. Glucose was added incrementally because adding the total amount at the beginning of the experiment caused precipitation and, thus, reduced nutrient availability.

Before glucose addition the residual glucose concentration (see below) was measured in the culture medium to determine whether the previous aliguot had been utilized during the light phase.

Cultures were carried out in 2 L Erlenmeyer photobioreactors maintained at 30° C and were exposed to a light intensity of 41.6 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ generated by 40 W fluorescent lamps with 12 h photoperiod.

The cultures were stirred by injecting sterile air with a specific flow rate of 0.5 vvm (Costa et al., 2000). The initial biomass concentration was 0.15 g.L⁻¹ (Radmann et al. 2007). The glucose concentration was analyzed using the glucose-oxidase enzymatic method (Laborlab, Campinas/Brazil). All tests and analysis were performed in duplicate.

Analytical determinations

Microalgal growth

The increase in biomass was monitored daily by measuring the optical density of the cultures at 670 nm using a spectrophotometer (FEMTO 700 Plus) and a previously constructed standard curve relating dry weight and optical density. The following parameters were evaluated: maximum biomass concentration $(X_{max}, g L^{-1})$; maximum yield, $(P_{max}, g L^{-1} d^{-1})$, obtained from $P = (X_t - X_0)/(t - t_0)$, where X_t is the biomass concentration $(g L^{-1})$ at time t (d) and X_0 the biomass concentration $(g L^{-1})$ at time t (μ_{max}, d^{-1}) by exponential regression of the logarithmic growth phase (Bailey and Ollis, 1996).

Biosurfactant activity

Culture samples were taken every two days and sonicated for 15 min in an ultrasonic bath to break the cell walls, and the surface tension of the sonicate was immediately evaluated using the ring method using a digital tensiometer (Kruss Processor Tensiometer K-6, Germany) and the sample in contact with air (Rodrigues et al., 2006). The results were expressed as minimum surface tension over time (TS_{min} , mN m⁻¹).

Statistical analysis

All reported values represent the average value of the analysis of three replicates. An ANOVA was performed followed by Tukey's

test (p<0.05) for mean comparison using the Statistica 8.0 software for Windows (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Arthrospira sp LEB 18 mixotrophic cultivation

All cultures showed cell growth over 10 days except for assays with added molasses concentrations of 7 and 9 g L¹, which showed cell death in 3 days. In experiments measuring cell growth curves with glucose, adaptation was not observed, while the cultures with molasses spent approximately three days from adapt to the conditions subjected to the microorganism. In the dark phase of photosynthesis, the microorganisms consume their own energy source, reducing growth rate and, consequently, cell concentration, and recovering again during the light period phase (Nelson and Cox, 2011). However, comparison of the spectrophotometric readings in the dark and light periods revealed that there was no decrease in cell growth in the dark phase because of the addition of organic carbon sources that maintained the growth rate (Figure 1).

Chen and Zhang (1997) have reported that the cell growth of mixotrophic cultures is limited by low or high concentrations of organic carbon. High concentrations of carbon can induce cellular stress as a result of excess nutrients in the culture medium. At low concentrations, there was growth restriction due to the shortage of an organic carbon source. This phenomenon was observed in the tests performed with the addition of glucose and molasse.

There was an increase in biomass that was directly proportional to the concentration of the carbon source to 5 g L⁻¹, thereafter, a decrease in cell specific growth rate and productivity was observed using glucose. In cultures with molasse, the largest cell concentration achieved was 3 g L⁻¹ of organic source. In trials where higher cell concentrations were obtained for both molasse and glucose, there was also maximum productivity (0.27 and 0.26 g L⁻¹ d⁻¹, respectively). Compared with autotrophic culture (0.10 g L⁻¹d⁻¹), it was observed that the addition of organic source to crops increased significantly (p <0.001) maximum productivity.

Glucose addition increased the maximum specific growth rate 1.9 times during mixotrophic LEB 18 cultivation compared with the autotrophic. In assays using molasses, the maximum growth rate reached in the mixotrophic assay was lower than autotrophic because, in general, this parameter was attained in the exponential growth phase. The growth curves in Figure 1 show that in cultures with molasses, the exponential cell growth phase was not achieved at the end of the experiment for any of the carbon source concentrations. The pH of crops remained between 9.5 and 10.5 which, according to Pelizer et al. (2003), is the optimal range for *Arthrospira* growth.

Microalgae selection for biosurfactants production

According Torzillo et al. (1991), during the dark phase, biomass is reduced because of cellular energy demand, which is supplied by the endogenous microalgal cell reserves formed during the light phase. In cultures where an organic carbon source was added nightly, biomass loss can be minimized during the dark phase, generating higher cell density compared with the autotrophic culture, as observed in the growth curves in Figure 2.

The increase in cell concentration in the mixotrophic condition was observed in the LEB 106 culture, which showed a stationary phase from 5 days of cultivation with glucose addition. In this growth step, the microalgae reached the maximum cell concentration in the culture with organic substrate (1.02 g L^{-1}) (Table 1). The stationary phase of cell growth, which stabilizes the cell concentration, can occur because of a lack of nutrients in the culture medium or due to consumption by microorganisms during development. At this stage, the production of metabolites occurs, such as carbohydrates and lipids; these metabolites are used by the end of this phase as a form to obtain energy until the beginning of cell decline (Schimidell, 2001). In this experiment, the addition of glucose caused an increase in the maximum specific growth rate (0.13 d⁻¹) compared with the autotrophic culture (0.11 d^{-1}) , quickly consuming the carbon provided in the culture medium and affecting the stability of cell concentration more rapidly.

The microalgae studied showed better kinetic parameters of growth in crops that were mixotrophically cultured. Chojnacka and Noworyta (2004) observed a similar pattern with higher specific growth speeds for mixotrophic cultivation compared with autotrophic. Due to the different cellular and genetic characteristics of each of the strains studied, the addition of glucose influenced growth kinetic parameters differently. The largest maximum cell concentration during glucose cultivation was obtained for C. homosphaera (3.19 g L^{-1}); this experiment achieved the highest maximum mobile productivity (0.32 g L⁻¹ d⁻¹) (Table 2). However, increasing cell concentration did not have a proportional relationship to biosurfactant production. In general, the improvement of a target compound is produced by the addition of substrates that create stress conditions for the cells. This stress results in a microalgal metabolism deviation, leading to the production of specific compounds, usually energetic compounds such as lipids and carbohydrates that compose the biosurfactants.

Compared with experiments performed earlier (Figure 1), the LEB 18 exhibited lower growth with the same concentration of glucose (5.0 g L^{-1}) because of the



Figure 1. Production of biomass by *Arthrospira* sp. LEB 18 under different concentrations of glucose (a) and molasses (b): (+) E1 (1.0 g.L⁻¹); (\leq) E2 (3.0 g.L⁻¹); (\blacktriangle) E3 (5.0 g.L⁻¹); \bigcirc) E4 (7.0 g.L⁻¹); (\blacklozenge) E5 (9.0 g.L⁻¹).



Figure 2. Growth curve for microalgae Synechococcus nidulans (+), Chorella minutissima LEB 108 (\leq), Chlorella vulgaris LEB 106 (\blacktriangle), Chlorella homosphaera (\bigcirc), Arthrospira sp. LEB 18 (\bullet). (a) Cultures with sodium bicarbonate (16.8 g.L⁻¹). (b) Glucose (5.0 g.L⁻¹).

Assault X_{-1} $(\alpha ^{-1})$		X _{max}		P _{max}		μ _{max}	
Assays AFOC (9.	AFOC (g.L)	Glucose	Molasse	Glucose	Molasse	Glucose	Molasse
1	1.0	0.71±0.10	0.73±0.12	0.08±0.01	0.18±0.01	0.17±0.01	0.08±0.00
2	3.0	1.09±0.09	1.24±0.16	0.13±0.00	0.26±0.01	0.21±0.01	0.11±0.01
3	5.0	2.55±0.21	1.21±0.07	0.27±0.01	0.23±0.00	0.38±0.01	0.12±0.01
4	7.0	1.92±0.15	0.31±0.20	0.27±0.00	0.12±0.01	0.12±0.01	0.03±0.01
5	9.0	1.53±0.05	0.29±0.15	0.25±0.00	0.10±0.01	0.24±0.00	0.02±0.01
Autotrophic	0.0	0.98±0.00		0.10±0.00		0.20±0.00	

Table 1. Maximum cell concentration (X_{max} , g.L⁻¹), productivity (P_{max} , g.L⁻¹.d⁻¹) and specific growth rate (μ_{max} , d⁻¹) for the experiments indicated.

X_{FOC}, Concentration of organic carbon source added.

Table 2. Maximum cell concentration (X_{max} , g.L⁻¹) productivity (P_{max} , g.L⁻¹.d⁻¹), cell growth rate (μ_{max} , d⁻¹) and minimum surface tension (TS_{min} , mN.m⁻¹) for *Synechococcus nidulans* (SY), *C. minutissima* (CM), *C. vulgaris* (CV), *C. homosphaera* (CH) e *Arthrospira* sp. LEB 18 (SP) microalgae.

Mioroalgaa -	X _{max}		Pr	P _{max}		μ _{max}	
Microalgae	NaHCO ₃	Glucose	NaHCO ₃	glucose	NaHCO ₃	Glucose	
SY	2.11±0.52	2.88±0.12	0.16±0.06	0.22±0.01	0.08±0.03	0.14±0.01	
СМ	0.52±0.00	2.16±0.15	0.04±0.02	0.30±0.05	0.01±0.01	0.22±0.03	
CV	1.84±0.29	1.02±0.23	0.15±0.02	0.15±0.08	0.11±0.01	0.13±0.08	
СН	0.31±0.00	3.19±0.08	0.06±0.02	0.32±0.00	0.03±0.00	0.03±0.02	
SP	1.01±0.07	1.97±0.14	0.06±0.03	0.25±0.18	0.03±0.00	0.16±0.00	

different conditions when substrate was added. In the previous experiment lasting 10 days, glucose was added daily at a higher concentration, while in the 20 days experiment, the daily concentration were lower for same added amount of glucose in the same experiments. The addition of substrate occurred daily because adding the total concentration (5.0 g L⁻¹) at the beginning of the cultivation, glucose precipitation occurred, reducing nutrient availability for microalgae. Every day, before the addition of glucose to the cultures, a concentration analysis was performed in the same medium, verifying that it was totally consumed by the microalgae.

Biosurfactant quality is inverse to its surface tension: the smaller the surfactant, the more efficient the surfactant. Carbon source plays an important role in biosurfactant production, influencing the synthesis of induction or repression. According to Cameotra and Makkar (1998), the carbon sources used for the production of biosurfactants can be divided into carbohydrates, hydrocarbons, and vegetable oils and may determine the specificity of the product. According to Cavalero and Cooper (2003) and Hommel et al. (1994), water soluble substrates such as glucose are primarily used in cell metabolism for synthesis of the hydrophilic portion of the biosurfactant molecule, while the lipophilic substrates are used for the production of the lipophilic portion.

Surface tension reduction was found for all microalgae studied, occurring during biosurfactant production in mixotrophic and autotrophic experiment, with an increasing concentration of this product during cultivation time. Among the cultures with added glucose, LEB 18 had the highest reduction in surface tension: 38% from the initial surface tension, reaching 43 mN.m⁻¹ (Figure 3). *S. nidulans* presented an end surface tension value that was similar to *Arthrospira* (44.0 mN.m⁻¹), with a reduction of 35% compared to baseline.

Conclusion

In the study of organic carbon sources for the mixotrophic cultivation of *Arthrospira* sp. LEB 18, the best growth was observed in culture using glucose as an organic carbon source at a concentration of 5 g L⁻¹. Under these conditions, the maximum cell concentration was 2.6 times higher compared with autotrophic cultivation. When evaluating the culture of different microalgae species for biosurfactant production, a reduction in surface tension was observed in all microalgae studied, with an increased concentration of this product during cultivation time. LEB 18 had the highest reduction in surface tension: 38%



Figure 3. Surface tension over time for microalgae S. nidulans (+), C. minutissima (≤), C. vulgaris (\blacktriangle), *C. homosphaera* (\bigcirc), *Arthrospira* sp. LEB 18 (\bigcirc). (a) Cultures with sodium bicarbonate (16,8 g.L⁻¹); (b) Glucose (5 g.L⁻¹).

from the initial, reaching 43 mN.m⁻¹. These results demonstrate the potential of organic carbon sources to stimulate both the growth of microorganisms and biosurfactant production. Furthermore, the data highlight the potential of molasses, a low-cost byproduct, as an organic substrate for microalgae cultivation.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Brazilian Electric Power Company (ELETROBRAS), the Thermal Generation of Electric Energy Company (CGTEE), Publication Support Program of Academic Production (PROPESP/FURG -2015), and Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MCTI) for their financial support of this study.

REFERENCES

- Andrade MR, Costa JAV (2007). Mixotrophic cultivation of Microalga Spirulina platensis using molasses as organic substrate. Aquaculture 264:130-134.
- Bailey JE, Ollis DF (1996). Biochemical Engineering Fundamentals, 2ª ed. Singapore, McGraw-Hill.
- Banat IN, Makkar RS, Cameotra SS (2000). Potential commercial applications of microbial surfactants. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 53:495-508
- Bellou S, Baeshen MN, Elazzazy AM, Aggeli D, Sayegh F, Aggelis G

(2014). Microalgal lipids biochemistry and biotechnological perspectives. Biotechnol. Adv. 32:1476-1493.

- Bhatnagar A, Chinnasamy S, Singh M, Das KC (2011). Renewable biomass production by mixotrophic algae in the presence of various carbon sources and wastewaters. Appl. Energy. 88:3425-3431. Cameotra SS, Makkar RS (1998). Synthesis of biosurfactants in
- extreme conditions. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 50:520-529.
- Cavalero DA, Cooper DG (2003). The effect of medium composition on the structure and physical state of sophorolipids produced by Candida bombicola ATCC22214. J. Biotechnol. 103:31-41.
- Chen F, Zhang Y, Guo S (1996). Growth and phycocyanin formation of Spirulina platensis in photoheterotrophic culture. Biotchnol. Letters 18:603-608.
- Chojnacka K, Noworyta A (2004). Evaluation of Spirulina sp. Growth in photoautotrophic, heterotrophic and mixotrophic cultures. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 34:461-465.
- Costa JAV, Linde GA, Atala DIP, Mibielli GM, Arrieche L, Krüger RT (2000). Modelling of growth conditions for cyanobacterium Spirulina platensis in microcosms. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 16:15-18.
- Desai JD, Banat IM (1997). Microbial production of surfactants and their commercial potential. Microbiol. Mol. Ver. 61:47-64.
- Hommel RK, Weber L, Wiss A, Haferburg D, Kleber HP (1994). Production of sophorose lipids by Candida (Torulopsis) apicola grown on glucose. J. Biotechnol. 33:147-155.
- Morais MG, Reichert CC, Dalcanton F, Durante AJ, Marins LFF, COSTA JAV (2008). Isolation and characterization of a new Arthrospira strain. Z. Naturforsch. 63:144-150.
- Morais MG, Vaz BS, Morais EG, Costa JAV (2015). Biologically Active Metabolites Synthesized by Microalgae. BioMed Res. Int. 2015: 1-15.
- Nelson DL, Cox MM (2011). Princípios de Bioquimica de Lehninger, 5 ed, Sarvier.
- Pandey A, Lee DJ, Chisti Y, Soccol CR (2014). Biofuels from algae, United States, Elsevier.
- Pelizer LH, Danesi ED, Rangel CO, Sassano CEN, Carvalho JCM, Sato S, Moraes IO (2003). Influence of inoculum age and concentration in Spirulina platensis cultivation. J. Food Eng. 56: 371-375.
- Radmann EM, Reinehr CO, Costa JAV (2007). Otimization of the repeated batch cultivation of microalga Spirulina platensis in open raceway ponds. Aquaculture. 265:118-126.
- Rippka R, Deruelles J, Waterbury JW, Herdman M, Stanier RG (1979). Genetic assignments, strain histories and properties of pure cultures

of Cyanobacteria. J. Gen. Microbiol. 111:1-61.

- Rodolfi L, Zittelli GC, Bassi N, Padovani G, Biondi N, Bonini G, Tredici MR (2009). Microalgae for Oil: Strain Selection, Induction of Lipid Synthesis and Outdoor Mass Cultivation in a Low-Cost Photobioreactor. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 102:100-112.
- Rodrigues L, Moldes A, Teixeira J, Ŏliveira R (2006). Kinetic study of fermentative biosurfactant production by *Lactobacillus strains*. Bioch. Eng. J. 28:109-116.
- Schimidell W, Lima AU, Aquarone E, Borzani W (2001). Biotecnologia Industrial. v. 2, São Paulo, Edgard Blücher LTDA.
- Soccol CR, Pandey A, Larroche C (2013). Fermentation Processes Engineering in the Food Industry, CRC Press.
- Sundaram S, Thakur IS (2015). Biosurfactant production by a CO₂ sequestering *Bacillus* sp. strain ISTS2. Bioresour. Technol. 188:247-250.
- Torzillo G, Sacchi A, Materasi R (1991). Temperature as an important factor affecting productivity and night biomass loss in *Spirulina platensis* grown outdoors in tubular photobioreactors. Bioresour. Technol. 38:95-100.
- Zarrouk C (1966). Contribuition a Letude Dune Cyanophycee, Influence de Divers Facteurs physiques et Chimiques sur la Croissance et photosynthese de *Spirulina maxima* geitler. *Ph.D. Thesis*, University of Paris.

academic Journals

Vol. 9(47), pp. 2290-2299, 28 November, 2015 DOI: 10.5897/AJMR2015.7779 Article Number: 025237C56462 ISSN 1996-0808 Copyright ©2015 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJMR

African Journal of Microbiology Research

Full Length Research Paper

Carbon and nitrogen sources differently influence Penicillium sp. HC1 conidiation in solid and liquid culture

Ivonne Gutierréz-Rojas^{1,2}*, Geraldine Tibasosa-Rodríguez^{1,2}, Nubia Moreno-Sarmiento^{2,3}, María Ximena Rodríguez-Bocanegra⁴ and Dolly Montoya²

¹Grupo de Biotecnología Ambiental e Industrial – GBAI, Departamento de Microbiología, Facultad de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, BogotáD.C., Colombia.

 ²Instituto de Biotecnología, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá D.C., Colombia.
 ³Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Ciudad Universitaria, BogotáD.C., Colombia.
 ⁴Unidad de Investigaciones Agropecuarias – UNIDIA, Departamento de Microbiología, Facultad de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá D.C., Colombia.

Received 25 September, 2015; Accepted 12 November, 2015

This work evaluates the effect of different carbon and nitrogen sources on conidiophore and conidia formation in *Penicillium* sp. HC1, a cellulolytic and xylanolytic fungi arousing industrial interest. A factorial design was used having two variables: A carbon source (glucose, sucrose, cassava starch, wheat bran, and rice flour) and a nitrogen source (tryptose, yeast extract, $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$, and KNO_3). The resulting 20 combinations were evaluated in both solid and liquid medium. Different C:N ratios (5:1, 10:1, 20:1, and 40:1) were also evaluated for one of the combinations. The results revealed the influence of both carbon and nitrogen sources on conidiophore and conidia morphology and the amount of conidia produced; however, this depended on culture condition. A particular culture's condition could also influence conidia tolerance to stressful conditions; conidia having close to 100% tolerance were obtained in liquid media having complex carbon sources and inorganic nitrogen sources. Regarding the C:N ratio, it was found out that nitrogen limitation increased conidia tolerance for both conditions (solid, liquid), the effect being more noticeable in submerged conditions. Understanding the effects of nutrition on conidia production and quality in fungi having industrial interest is a key issue when developing large-scale production.

Key words: Complex carbon source, conidia, conidiophore, inorganic nitrogen source, medium conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Fungi can reproduce themselves sexually or asexually; they thus produce a variety of structures which have

evolved and become adapted to their habitat and, in some cases, to their hosts (Steyaert et al., 2010). Conidia

*Corresponding author. E-mail: ivonne.gutierrez@javeriana.edu.co. Tel: (571) 3208320. Ext: 4073. Fax: (571) 3208320. Ext: 4021.

Author(s) agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u> formation (asexual propagules) is very important for reproduction and rapid dissemination and can lead to producing mycelia rapidly in favourable environmental conditions. Such property, and the fact that they are structures having greater tolerance to different types of stress than vegetative cells, has led to conidia suspensions being widely used in biotechnological-based industry for producing seed cultures or obtaining a formulated final product (Feofilova et al., 2011).

state fermentation (SSF) or submerged Solid fermentation (SmF) is used for large-scale fungi culture. SSF has been used since ancestral times, offering several advantages over SmF, the most important being that it can reproduce the natural process of fungal growth, thereby leading to higher yields of metabolites, growth or asexual propagule formation. Nevertheless, SSF has numerous disadvantages concerning SmF; these would include low mixture efficiency, difficulty in scaling-up, difficulty in controlling different culture parameters, such as pH, temperature, aeration, oxygen transfer, and the great impurity of the products so obtained, thereby increasing recovery costs (Couto and Sanromán, 2006). The foregoing means that SmF continues to be used more in large-scale industrial processes. However, the greatest problem regarding SmF is the culture system per se (Grimm et al., 2005), because fungi may have different structural forms throughout their lifecycles influencing the culture's rheological properties and fungal metabolism and thus metabolite production (Grimm et al., 2005; Znidarsic and Pavko, 2001; Papagianni, 2004). In addition. SmF conditions are not ideal for conidia formation (Znidarsic and Pavko, 2001; Hadley and Harrold, 1958; Morton, 1961; Thomas et al., 1987; Boualem et al., 2008) and conidiogenesis is not easily achieved in SmF, due to the relatively good availability of nutrients. Mechanisms controlling asexual propagule formation differ between species (Roncal and Ugalde, 2003; Znidarsic and Pavko, 2001) and most still remain unknown.

Specifically, concerning the genus Penicillium, inducing conidiogenesis in SmF has been studied for many years, given the commercial interest shown regarding some species from this genus. Foster et al. (1945) showed that Penicillium notatum conidia could be produced in SmF, having morphology and activity similar to that obtained in surface cultures. However, conidia formation only occurred if the culture medium contained a high calcium concentration (0.5 to 5%) (Foster et al., 1945). Such finding has been proven for several Penicillium species, such as Penicillium cyclopium, Penicillium griseofulvum, Penicillium paxilli, Penicillium bilaii and Penicillium oxalicum (Roncal and Ugalde, 2003). Nutrient limitation is another factor determining the induction of conidiogenesis. Contrary to submerged hyphae, aerial hyphae grow outside basal medium separated from the nutrients, leading to aerial hyphal detecting nutrient limitation which could thereby induce the start of conidiogenesis (Roncal and Ugalde, 2003). Hadley and

Harrold (1958) found that conidiogenesis in P. notatum was connected to nitrogen metabolism, since reduced nitrate levels in the medium increased the ability to produce conidia and reduced calcium requirement (Hadley and Harrold, 1958). Nitrogen limitation provokes conidiogenesis in most Penicillium sp. (Roncal and Ugalde, 2003). However, conidiogenesis induction due to carbon limitation has also been reported. For example, low glucose concentration in *P. chrysogenum* restricts vegetative growth, thereby inducing conidia formation (Righelato et al., 1968). Other nutritional conditions could induce conidiogenesis; regarding P. griseofulvum, neither conidiophores nor conidia are formed in submerged culture in culture medium containing glucose and nitrate, even with nitrogen limitation, but may be induced in the presence of very high glucose concentrations or by adding defined concentrations of calcium or copper (Morton, 1961).

It has been reported that culture conditions, such as pH. oxygen, and exposure to visible light during mycelial growth affect conidia formation in terms of their amount and their morphological and physiological characteristics, as tolerance to thermal and oxidative stress by ultraviolet (UV) radiation. This pattern has been studied in entomopathogenic fungi, such as Beauveria bassiana (Chong-Rodríguez et al., 2011), Metarhizium anisopliae (Hallsworth and Magan, 1994), Metarhizium robertsii (Rangel et al., 2011), Paecilomyces farinosus (Hallsworth and Magan, 1994) and Paecilomyces fumosoroseus (De la Torre and Cárdenas-Cota, 1996; Vidal et al., 1998), and phytopathogens, such as Colletotrichum acutatum (de Menezes et al., 2015) and Colletotrichum truncatum (Jackson and Schisler, 1992). Few reports regarding the genus Penicillium have dealt with the relationship between culture conditions and the characteristics of the conidia so obtained. Pascual et al. (2000), found that P. oxalicum conidia viability, hydrophobicity, and efficiency (in terms of biocontrol) differed when produced in liquid culture or in solid culture, those produced in solid medium being more efficient (Pascual et al., 2000).

The present work studies how carbon and nitrogen sources and culture condition (solid or liquid) affect conidiophore and conidia formation and also their tolerance to different types of stress in *Penicillium* sp. HC1, a fungus of industrial interest given its ability to degrade lignocellulose residues.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Organisms and inoculation

Penicillium sp. HC1 was selected from a screening study of cellulolytic microorganisms isolated from rhizosphere soils of rice crops located at Tolima and Meta, Colombia (Gutiérrez-Rojas et al., 2012). This isolate has been deposited in the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures Fungal Biodiversity Center (CBS-KNAW) as CBS 136205. The inoculum for all experiments consisted of a suspension having 10⁸ conidia.ml⁻¹ which was prepared from a

Culture media number	Carbon source (g.L⁻¹)	Nitrogen source (g.L ⁻¹)	Culture media number	Carbon source (g.L ⁻¹)	Nitrogen source (g.L ⁻¹)
1	Sucrose (20.00)	Tryptose (7.98)	11	Cassava starch (21.59)	(NH ₄) ₂ HPO ₄ (4.57)
2	Sucrose (20.00)	Yeast extract (9.18)	12	Cassava starch (21.59)	KNO ₃ (7.00)
3	Sucrose (20.00)	(NH ₄) ₂ HPO ₄ (4.63)	13	Wheat bran (27.00)	Tryptose (7.42)
4	Sucrose (20.00)	KNO ₃ (7.08)	14	Wheat bran (27.00)	Yeast extract (9.04)
5	Glucose (20.00)	Tryptose (7.58)	15	Wheat bran (27.00)	(NH ₄) ₂ HPO ₄ (4.30)
6	Glucose (20.00)	Yeast extract (8.72)	16	Wheat bran (27.00)	KNO ₃ (6.58)
7	Glucose (20.00)	(NH ₄) ₂ HPO ₄ (4.40)	17	Rice flour (21.31)	Tryptose (5.67)
8	Glucose (20.00)	KNO ₃ (6.73)	18	Rice flour (21.31)	Yeast extract (6.90)
9	Cassava starch (21.59)	Tryptose (7.88)	19	Rice flour (21.31)	(NH ₄) ₂ HPO ₄ (3.28)
10	Cassava starch (21.59)	Yeast extract (9.60)	20	Rice flour (21.31)	KNO ₃ (5.03)

Table 1. Combinations of carbon and nitrogen sources to evaluate their influence on growth and conidia production in Penicillium sp. HC1, on solid and liquid media.

potato dextrose agar (PDA) culture, incubated at 28°C for seven days.

Effect of different carbon and nitrogen sources on conidia formation

Different carbon sources, simple or chemically defined (sucrose and glucose) and complex (cassava starch, wheat bran, and rice flour) and different nitrogen sources, organic (yeast extract and tryptose) and inorganic $((NH_4)_2HPO_4 \text{ and } KNO_3)$ (Table 1), were used in solid and liquid media (in L⁻¹: 0.5 g MgSO₄·7H₂0, 0.5 g KCl, 1 g K₂HPO₄, 0.2 mg FeSO₄, 0.2 mg CaCl₂, 0.02 mg CoCl₂·6H₂0, 0.001 mg CuCl₂·2H₂0, 0.02 mg NiCl₃·6H₂0, 0.003 mg MnCl₂·4H₂0, 0.01 mg ZnSO₄·7H₂0, 0.3 mg H₃BO₃, and 0.003 mg NaMoO₄·2H₂0 at pH 6.0), adding a fixed amount of carbon and nitrogen source to obtain a C:N ratio (10:1). The organic carbon concentration was determined by the Walkley-Black method and total nitrogen concentration by Kjeldahl method. Solid media were prepared with 10 g.L⁻¹ agar. Conidia suspension (50 µl) was inoculated in a well at the centre of a Petri dish. Cultures were incubated at 28°C. Liquid medium was prepared in 100 ml Erlenmeyer flasks with 20 ml working volume and inoculated with 2 ml of the conidia suspension, incubated at 28°C and shaken at 100 rpm on an orbital shaker. After 4 days' incubation, a sample was taken from both solid and liquid media for morphological characterisation by image analysis on an optical microscope (Leica DM1000) with a digital camera (Leica. ICC50 HD). Conidia suspensions were obtained after 8 days' incubation in which the amount of conidia was determined by haemocytometer as well as their viability and tolerance to stress. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Effect of the carbon:nitrogen ratio

Medium 8 (glucose: KNO_3) was selected and the amount of nitrogen source added varied, keeping the amount of carbon (20 g.L⁻¹) constant, so that different C:N ratios were obtained (5:1, 10:1, 20:1 and 40:1; 13.48, 6.73, 3.37, and 1.68 gL⁻¹ KNO₃, respectively) and then evaluated in solid and liquid medium. All experiments were done in triplicate.

Germination percentage

Germination percentage was evaluated for determining conidia viability. Three 5 μ l aliquots of a 10⁸ conidia.ml⁻¹ suspension were inoculated on Petri dishes containing water agar and incubated at 28°C for 18 to 20 h. Conidia germination percentage was calculated by counting under a microscope, a minimum of 100 conidia (germinated and non-germinated) per each 5 μ l aliquot. All evaluations were carried on in triplicate.

Tolerance to thermal stress

Aliquots containing 1 ml of 10⁷ conidia.ml⁻¹ suspension

were heated at 45 and 50°C for 1 h; control was kept at room temperature (RT) (García-Rico et al., 2011). Germination percentage was calculated after treatment time had elapsed. All experiments were done in triplicate.

Tolerance to oxidative stress

A suspension of 10⁸ conidia.ml⁻¹ was mixed with hydrogen peroxide to reach a final concentration of 0, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, and 150 mM, and then incubated for 30 min at RT (García-Rico et al., 2011). After this incubation time, germination percentage was calculated. All experiments were done in triplicate.

Tolerance to UV radiation

A 10 ml of 10^8 conidia.ml⁻¹ suspension was submitted to UV radiation in a laminar flow chamber (Streamline laboratory products EN 1822.1) at 20 cm constant distance from UV lamp. A 1 ml sample was taken at different intervals of time: 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 5, and 10 min according to Rangel et al. (2011), with some modifications. Germination percentage was calculated. All experiments were done in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

Differences between conidiophore and conidia morphology



Figure 1. Conidiophores and conidia of *Penicillium* sp. HC1 after 4 days incubation at 28°C on different culture media. A: Liquid medium (ME11), B: Solid medium - simple carbon source (ME5), C: Solid medium- complex carbon source (ME17). 100x.

as well as the amount of conidia produced under all conditions (in solid and liquid) and tolerance to stress were evaluated by analysing one-way variance (ANOVA) and Tukey test having 0.05 significance level. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was also used for determining the influence of the variables separately and the interaction between them on the amount of conidia produced. Pearson correlation was used for measuring the correlation between conidiophore morphology and the tolerance of the conidia produced. SPSS version 21 and Design Expert version 7 statistical software was used for all the analysis.

RESULTS

Effect of the type of carbon and nitrogen source on conidia formation

Figures 1 and 2 show the results of microscopic characterisation. Differences were observed between the conidiophores obtained using simple carbon sources (SS) and complex sources (CS) in solid medium. The conidiophores were wider in the latter (CS: 14.73 ± 4.48 μ m; SS: 9.41 ± 3.58 μ m), having more phialides (CS: 9 ± 2; SS: 5 ± 2) and the phialides, in turn, were much bigger (CS: $8.67 \pm 1.76 \mu$ m; SS: $8.21 \pm 1.57 \mu$ m) and wider (CS: 2.18 ± 0.4 µm; SS: 1.92 ± 0.33 µm). Reproductive structures were not observed in all liquid media, for that reason it is not possible to stablish differences between types of sources. However, the data did show that conidiophores obtained in submerged condition were shorter (12.98 ± 2.62 µm), narrower (7.37 ± 1.92 µm) and had less phialides (2 ± 1) than those obtained in solid media with any of the sources evaluated here. Differences were only found regarding the conidia size obtained in solid medium with complex carbon sources, being larger (2.50 \pm 0.49 µm × 2.16 \pm 0.46 µm) than those obtained in solid medium with simple sources (2.04 \pm 0.41 µm × 1.77 \pm 0.39 µm) or in liquid medium (1.87 \pm 0.42 µm × 1.67 \pm 0.39 µm).

The highest conidia production in solid medium was obtained in culture medium 9 (5.82 \pm 0.032 Log₁₀ $(conidia).mm^{2})^{-1}$ (cassava starch + tryptose) and 14 $(5.77 \pm 0.003 \text{ Log}_{10} \text{ (conidia).mm}^2)^{-1})$ (wheat bran + yeast extract) and the lowest $(4.49 \pm 0.041 \text{ Log}_{10})$ $(conidia).mm^{2})^{-1}$ in culture medium 7 (glucose + (NH₄)₂HPO4) (Figure 3A and B). The two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (Table 2) showed that both carbon and nitrogen sources and the interaction between them had a significant effect (p<0.0001), being the highest with carbon source (F=37.80). Complex carbon sources in liquid medium seemed to favour conidia production (Figure 3D); the highest values were obtained with medium 13 (wheat bran + tryptose) and 19 (rice flour + $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$) (6.84 ± 0.70 and 6.64 ± 0.88 Log₁₀ (conidia.ml⁻¹), respectively. The lowest values were obtained with simple carbon sources (Figure 3C), the lowest being 4.95 \pm 0.52 Log₁₀ (conidia.ml⁻¹), obtained in medium 6 (glucose + yeast extract). Unlike the solid media, nitrogen source in liquid media did not show a significant influence on conidia production (p=0.9686), carbon source having greater influence (p<0.0001, F=22.42).

Effect of the type of carbon and nitrogen source on conidia tolerance

Figure 4 shows the tolerance to thermal stress (50°C) of



Figure 2. Morphological characteristics of *Penicillium* sp. HC1 conidiophores and conidia obtained from different solid and liquid culture media. The same letters indicate no significant difference according to Tukey test (95% significance).

		Conidia pro	oduction	
Factor	Solid media o	onidia. (mm²) ⁻¹	Liquid media	ı (conidia.ml⁻¹)
	F value	P value	F value	P value
A	37.80	< 0.0001	22.42	< 0.0001
В	16.67	< 0.0001	0.084	0.9686
A × B	10.11	< 0.0001	4.47	< 0.0001
Model		< 0.0001	7.55	< 0.0001
R ²	0.8897		0.7	/052
Adjusted R ²	0.8372		0.6119	
Adequate precision	16.836		8.814	

 Table 2. Effect of carbon and nitrogen sources on conidia production, on solid and liquid media.

A: Carbon source; B: Nitrogen source.

conidia obtained in all solid and liquid media. The relative germination percentage of conidia obtained from solid media was 16% on average, with 56.7% coefficient of variation, whilst this was 37.02%, with 99.1% coefficient of variation, from liquid media. The incidence of complex or simple carbon and organic or inorganic nitrogen sources in solid media was not evident.

Conidia obtained from liquid media had a clear tendency towards high tolerance (close to 100%) in

media having complex carbon sources (cassava starch, wheat bran, and rice flour) combined with inorganic nitrogen sources ($(NH_4)_2HPO_4$ and KNO_3). The conidia obtained from liquid media 11 (cassava starch + $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$) and 19 (rice flour + $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$) showed tolerance at 45°C, oxidative stress and UV radiation. Conidia obtained from PDA (8 days culture) were used as the standard for comparison (Figure 5). These results showed that the conidia obtained from in these two media



Culture Media (Liquid)

Figure 3. Production of conidia in different culture media. (A) Solid media - simple carbon sources. (B) Solid media - complex carbon sources. (C) Liquid media - simple carbon sources. (D) Liquid media - complex carbon sources. Black bars represent the higher responses, white bars represent the lower responses and squared bars represent the intermediate responses, according to Tukey test (p<0.05).



Figure 4. Survival of *Penicillium* sp. HC1 conidia obtained on different culture media exposed to thermal stress (50°C for one hour). Solid media (A), liquid media (B). Red symbols represent simple carbon sources and blue symbols represent complex carbon sources. Square symbols represent organic nitrogen source and round symbols represent inorganic nitrogen source.



Figure 5. Tolerance to thermal stress (A), oxidative stress (B) and UV radiation (C) of *Penicillium* sp. HC1 conidia in liquid (11 and 19) and PDA media.

were not only more tolerant to temperature (Figure 5A) but also to oxidative and stress caused by UV radiation (Figure 5B and C).

Correlation between conidiophores' morphological characters and conidia's tolerance to thermal stress

Pearson correlation between the conidiophores' morphological characteristics and the results of the 50°C tolerance test, regarding conidia produced in different culture conditions, was analysed. Positive and statistically significant correlations were obtained in all cases (Table 3). The results suggested that the conidia obtained from larger structures tended to be more tolerant; this was evident regarding solid media where the most tolerant conidia were obtained from cassava medium starch as carbon source. The structures having most phialides were also obtained with such media. However, lower tolerance values were not obtained in all liquid media; in fact, the most tolerant conidia were obtained in liquid media with complex carbon sources and inorganic nitrogen sources (media 11, 15, and 19). This result suggests that this ratio is not always direct and does not just depend on one condition, such as solid or liquid medium or simple or complex carbon source, but rather on the interaction of many factors.

Effect of the carbon:nitrogen ratio on conidia tolerance

Conidia were obtained in two conditions (solid and liquid), in culture medium with simple carbon source (glucose) and inorganic nitrogen source (KNO₃), and then submitted to thermal stress (50°C) for establishing the effect of C:N ratio on conidia tolerance. It was seen that nitrogen limitation for both conditions increased conidia tolerance (Figure 6). In a non limiting nitrogen ratio (5:1), conidia obtained in solid medium had 1.41 ± 0.87 germination percentage and those in liquid medium 25.66 ± 0.87; whilst a limiting nitrogen ratio (40:1) increased such percentage to 5.34 ± 0.33 in solid media and to 53.66 ± 2.70 in liquid media. This effect was more noticeable in submerged (p<0.000, F = 55.322) than in solid condition (p=0.003, F=10.805). Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed that this culture condition had greater influence on response (p<0.0001, F=80.13) than C:N ratio (p<0.0001, F=11.72).

DISCUSSION

Studying culture conditions' influence on conidia production has been limited to entomopathogenic fungi of industrial interest (Jackson and Schisler, 1992; Hallsworth and Magan, 1994; De la Torre and Cárdenas-Cota, 1996; Vidal et al., 1998; Chong-Rodríguez et al., 2011; Rangel et al., 2011; de Menezes et al., 2015), but has been little studied in the genus *Penicillium*. The present work aimed at evaluating the influence of carbon source, nitrogen source, and their interaction on solid and

Morphological parameter	Relative germination percentage after heat treatment at 50°C
Conidiophore length	0.374*
Conidiophore width	0.370*
Number phialides	0.445*
Phialide length	0.264*
Phialide width	0.214*

Table 3. Pearson correlation coefficients of survival percentage at 50°C of conidia obtained from different culture conditions and morphological characteristics of conidiophores

*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)



Figure 6. Effect of carbon:nitrogen ratio on *Penicillium* sp. HC1 conidia tolerance to thermal stress (50°C) from liquid (8) and solid (PDA) media.

submerged culture, regarding conidiophore and conidia morphology, the amount of conidia produced and their tolerance to conditions of stress in the cellulolytic fungi *Penicillium* sp. HC1. Krasniewski et al. (2006) studied the effect of culture medium composition in solid culture on conidia production in *Penicillium camemberti* and found that not just concentration, but also the type of nitrogen source influenced conidiogenesis in this fungi; KNO₃ stimulated conidia production whilst $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ was inhibitory, using glucose as carbon source. Whether such clear tendency regarding the type of nitrogen source favouring conidiation (or not) could not be established in our work, probably due to the effect not just being caused by the nitrogen source, but also interaction with the carbon source.

The conidiation pattern was different in liquid media where a clear tendency for obtaining greater conidia production with complex carbon sources emerged. Given the nature of complex sources, some other component have been exercising could an influence on conidiogenesis, which could only be observed in the submerged condition. Mycelium air contact was the dominant stimulus for Penicillium sp. conidiophore formation in solid medium (Morton, 1961; Roncal and Ugalde, 2003); such situation did not occur when Penicillium sp. HC1 grew submerged, meaning that other inducing factors would have been playing a dominant role. Such factors might have been ions; it has been shown that calcium is fundamental for conidiophore formation in submerged culture in differing Penicillium sp. (Roncal and Ugalde, 2003). Roncal et al. (2002) identified a diterpenoide in Ρ. cyclopium, they named it conidiogenone, which could act as a hormone at very low concentrations (10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁸ mol.L⁻¹), thereby inducing

conidiogenesis at some calcium concentration. According to their results, conidiogenone and conidiogenol (conidiogenone precursor) were produced from very early growth phases onwards and were continuously released to culture medium, where they became accumulated until reaching a concentration which induced conidiogenesis. lt seems that calcium reduces the threshold concentration required in liquid medium for such induction in a yet-to-be-understood way, but which is probably related to this cation's binding to the hyphae external surface (Roncal et al., 2002). The inducing role of other ions, such as Mg, K, Cu, and PO₄ in liquid media has also been reported in P. griseofulvum, P. chrysogenum (Morton, 1961), and P. camemberti (Bockelmann et al., 1999).

Regarding tolerance to temperature (50°C), a clear tendency for obtaining conidia having high tolerance (close to 100%) was obtained in liquid culture with complex carbon sources and inorganic nitrogen sources. Such result was confirmed for conidia obtained in liquid media 11 (cassava starch and $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$) and 19 (rice flour and $(NH_4)_2HPO_4$ where high tolerance to oxidative stress and stress caused by UV radiation was also obtained. The influence of culture medium composition on conidia tolerance has been reported for other genera. Hallsworth and Magan (1994) found differences in polyhydroxy alcohol and trehalose content in conidia from three entomopathogenic fungi (Beauveria bassiana, Metarhizium anisopliae, and Paecylomices farinosus) when they were cultured in different carbon sources and concentrations (Hallsworth and Magan, 1994). The accumulation of polyols, such as mannitol, and trehalose is a mechanism, which cells use for protecting themselves from stress. Trehalose, for example, can replace water at low water activity and stabilise proteins during desiccation, thereby preserving membrane integrity (Hallsworth and Magan, 1996; Rangel et al., 2008). Cells accumulate these compounds in response to thermal shock, freezing, dehydration, osmotic stress, and carbon limitation and also to stress caused by other agents like UV radiation (Rangel et al., 2008). The interaction of the three factors (submerged culture condition, complex carbon source, and inorganic nitrogen source) may have caused a stressful environment for Penicillium sp. HC1, leading it to accumulating compounds, such as those reported in other species, therefore conidia produced in these conditions increased tolerance to the stressing conditions evaluated here.

However, not just the type of carbon and nitrogen source affect conidia tolerance to stress; the C:N ratio also influences such characteristic. Different C:N ratios were evaluated using a simple carbon source; this led to low tolerance (compared to that obtained with complex sources) and these conidia's tolerance we observed to be increased by increasing nitrogen restriction, even though to the detriment of the amount of conidia produced in very limiting ratios (data not shown). The influence of C:N ratio on fungal conidia activity and characteristics has been studied in fungi, such as *Talaromyces flavus* (Engelkes et al., 1997), *B. bassiana*, and *Pochonia chlamydosporia* (Gao and Liu, 2010a), *Paecilomyces lilacinus*, and *M. anisopliae* (Gao and Liu, 2010b), *Lecanicillium lecanii* and *Trichoderma viride* (Gao and Liu, 2009). The relationship between carbon concentration and C:N ratio with conidia production and quality has been clear in all cases; however, this relationship was different for each species studied and depended on factors such as type of carbon source, type of nitrogen source and culture system. The latter was evident in our results as fungal response to nitrogen limitation in solid medium was very different to that obtained in the liquid media where the effect was much clearer.

It was clear that culture medium composition and culture system (solid or liquid) were the critical factors determining the amount and tolerance of conidia, therefore, these factors must be carefully defined for guaranteeing conidia survival in field conditions. However, further studies are needed for establishing which mechanisms are involved regarding the differences in tolerance observed in the culture conditions evaluated here. This work is the first report in which all these parameters (carbon source, nitrogen source, C:N ratio, culture system, amount, and tolerance of conidia) have been evaluated for *Penicillium* sp.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Universidad Nacional de Colombia and BIOCULTIVOS S.A. for financing the project: Development of a bioinoculant for *in situ* decomposition of crop residues using lignocellulolytic microorganisms, in which this work is enclosed.

REFERENCES

- Bockelmann W, Portius S, Lick S, Heller KJ (1999). Sporulation of *Penicillium camemberti* in submerged batch culture. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 22:479-485.
- Boualem K, Waché Y, Garmyn D, Karbowiak T, Durand A, Gervais P, Cavin JF (2008). Cloning and expression of genes involved in conidiation and surface properties of *Penicillium camemberti* grown in liquid and solid cultures. Res. Microbiol. 159:110-117.
- Couto S, Sanromán M (2006). Application of solid-state fermentation to food industry-A review. J. Food. Eng. 76: 291-302.
- Chong-Rodríguez MJ, Maldonado-Blanco MG, Hernández-Escareño JJ, Galán-Wong LJ, Sandoval-Coronado CF (2011). Study of *Beauveria bassiana* growth, blastospore yield, desiccation-tolerance, viability and toxic activity using different liquid media. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 10:5736-5742.
- De la Torre M, Cárdenas-Cota H (1996). Production of *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* conidia in submerged culture. Entomophaga 41:443-

453.

- de Menezes HD, Massola NS Jr, Flint SD, Silva GJ Jr, Bachmann L, Rangel DE, Braga, GU (2015). Growth under visible light increases conidia and mucilage production and tolerance to UV-B radiation in the plant-pathogenic fungus *Colletotrichum acutatum*. Photochem. Photobiol. 91:397-402.
- Engelkes CA, Nuclo RL, Fravel DR (1997). Effect of carbon, nitrogen, and C:N ratio on growth, sporulation, and biocontrol efficacy of *Talaromyces flavus*. Phytopathology 87(5):500-505.
- Feofilova E, Ivashechkin AA, Alekhin AI, Sergeeva YE (2011). Fungal spores: Dormancy, germination, chemical composition, and role in biotechnology (review). Appl. Biochem. Microbiol. 48(1):5-17.
- Foster JW, McDaniel LE, Woodruff HB, Stokes JL (1945). Microbiological aspects of penicillin V. Conidiospore formation in submerged cultures of *Penicillium notatum*. J. Bacteriol. 50:365-368.
- Gao L, Liu X (2009). A novel two-stage cultivation method to optimize carbon concentration and carbon-to-nitrogen ratio for sporulation of biocontrol fungi. Folia. Microbiol. 54(2):142-146.
- Gao L, Liu X (2010a). Effects of carbon concentrations and carbon to nitrogen ratios on sporulation of two biological control fungi as determined by different culture methods. Mycopathologia 169(6):475-481.
- Gao L, Liu X (2010b). Sporulation of several biocontrol fungi as affected by carbon and nitrogen sources in a two-stage cultivation system. J. Microbiol. 48(6):767-770.
- García-Rico RO, Martín JF, Fierro F (2011). Heterotrimeric Gα protein Pga1 from *Penicillium chrysogenum* triggers germination in response to carbon sources and affects negatively resistance to different stress conditions. Fungal Genet. Biol. 48(6):641-649.
- Grimm LH, Kelly S, Krull R, Hempel DC (2005). Morphology and productivity of filamentous fungi. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 69:375-384.
- Gutiérrez-Rojas I, Matiz-Villamil A, Aguirre-Morales M, Reyes-Pineda E, Lemos-Gordo S, Méndez-Pedraza J, Núñez-Arbeláez A, Parra-Fajardo L, Alfonso-Piragua A, Avendaño-Herrera D, Melgarejo LM, Camelo C, Rodríguez J (2012). Estimación de poblaciones de microorganismos ligninolíticos y celulolíticos y actividad de βglucosidasa en agrosistemas de arroz. Ecología de microorganismos rizosféricos asociados a cultivos de arroz de Tolima y Meta. Uribe-Vélez D, Melgarejo LM Editors. Bogotá D.C, Universidad Nacional de Colombia: pp. 89-109.
- Hadley G, Harrold C (1958). The Sporulation of *Penicillium notatum* Westling in submerged liquid culture I. The effect of calcium and nutrients on sporulation intensity. J. Exp. Bot. 9(3):408-417.
- Hallsworth J, Magan N (1994). Effect of carbohydrate type and concentration on polyhydroxy alcohol and trehalose content of conidia of three entomopathogenic fungi. Microbiology 140:2705-2713.
- Hallsworth J, Magan N (1996). Culture age, temperature, and pH affect the polyol and trehalose contents of fungal propagules. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62(7):2435-2442.
- Jackson M, Schisler D (1992). The composition and attributes of Collectorichum truncatum spores are altered by the nutritional environment. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58(7):2260-2265.

- Krasniewski I, Molimard P, Feron G, Vergoignan C, Durand A, Cavin JF, Cotton P (2006). Impact of solid medium composition on the conidiation in *Penicillium camemberti*. Process. Biochem. 41(6):1318-1324.
- Morton A (1961). The induction of sporulation in mould fungi. P. Roy. Soc. Lond. B. Bio. 153:548-569.
- Papagianni M (2004). Fungal morphology and metabolite production in submerged mycelial processes. Biotechnol. Adv. 22(3):189-259.
- Pascual Š, De Cal A, Magan N, Melgarejo P (2000). Surface hydrophobicity, viability and efficacy in biological control of *Penicillium oxalicum* spores produced in aerial and submerged culture. J. Appl. Microbiol. 89(5):847-853.
- Rangel D, Anderson AJ, Roberts DW (2008). Evaluating physical and nutritional stress during mycelial growth as inducers of tolerance to heat and UV-B radiation in *Metarhizium anisopliae* conidia. Mycol. Res. 112(Pt 11):1362-1372.
- Rangel DE, Fernandes EK, Braga GU, Roberts DW (2011). Visible light during mycelial growth and conidiation of *Metarhizium robertsii* produces conidia with increased stress tolerance. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 315(2):81-86.
- Righelato RC, Trinci APJ, Pirt SJ, Peat A (1968). The influence of maintenance energy and growth rate on the metabolic activity, morphology and conidiation of *Penicillium chrysogenum*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 50:399-412.
- Roncal T, Cordobés S, Sterner O, Ugalde U (2002). Conidiation in *Penicillium cyclopium* is induced by conidiogenone, an endogenous diterpene. Eukaryot. Cell. 1(5):823-829.
- Roncal T, Ugalde U (2003). Conidiation induction in *Penicillium*. Res. Microbiol. 154(9):539-546.
- Steyaert JM, Weld RJ, Mendoza-Mendoza A, Stewart A (2010). Reproduction without sex: conidiation in the filamentous fungus Trichoderma. Microbiology 156(Pt 10):2887-2900.
- Thomas KC, Khachatourians GG, Ingledew WM (1987). Production and properties of *Beauveria bassiana* conidia cultivated in submerged culture. Can. J. Microbiol. 33(1):12-20.
- Vidal C, Fargues J, Lacey LA, Jackson MA (1998). Effect of various liquid culture media on morphology, growth, propagule production, and pathogenic activity to *Bemisia argentifolii* of the entomopathogenic Hyphomycete, *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*. Mycopathologia 143:33-46.
- Znidarsic P, Pavko A (2001). The morphology of filamentous fungi in submerged cultivations as a bioprocess parameter. Food Technol. Biotechnol. 39(3):237-252.

African Journal of Microbiology Research

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

African Journal of Biotechnology
 African Journal of Biochemistry Research
 Journal of Bacteriology Research
 Journal of Evolutionary Biology Research
 Journal of Yeast and Fungal Research
 Journal of Brewing and Distilling

academiclournals